

•

| Specific Criteria  | (-) |  | >(+) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-----|--|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate inclusion and exclusion of particular literatures explained/justified where necessary</li> </ul>  |     |  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <p>Structure and organisation of response</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clarity, logical sequencing and coherence in organisation and structure of review</li> <li>• The organisation of the topics in the review is explained and signposted</li> </ul>  |     |  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <p>Presentation of response according to appropriate academic and linguistic conventions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriate linguistic conventions (e.g. person, tense, statements, clarity etc.)</li> <li>• Appropriate APA citation conventions for quoting, paraphrasing, attributing sources of information</li> <li>• Citations in the text match the reference list</li> </ul> |     |  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <p>General comments/recommendations for next time:</p><br><br><br><br><br>   |     |  |      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Recommended: /20 (FL PS CR DN HD) Weighting: 100%

NB: The ticks in the various boxes are designed to provide feedback to